



BUSINESS COORDINATION BOARD

APPROVED MINUTES

Date: 02 October 2014

Time: 11:00

Location: Monkfield Room, Cambourne

Members:

Sir Graham Bright	Cambridgeshire Police and Crime Commissioner
Brian Ashton	Deputy Cambridgeshire Police and Crime Commissioner
Dorothy Gregson	Chief Executive, OPCC
Mark Hopkins	Assistant Chief Constable, Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Niki Howard	Chief Finance Officer, Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Simon Parr	Chief Constable, Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Robert Vickers	Interim Chief Finance Officer, Office of Police and Crime Commissioner OPCC
Alec Wood	Deputy Chief Constable, Cambridgeshire Constabulary

In Attendance:

Aly Flowers	Senior Policy Officer, OPCC
Charles Kitchin	Director of Public Engagement and Communication, OPCC
Tanya Little	Senior Admin Officer, OPCC
Colin Luscombe	Director of Estates, OPCC
Paul Ormerod	Temp Chief Inspector, Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Nicky Phillipson	Strategic Advisor, OPCC

Welcome and Apologies

There were no apologies received.

1. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

2. To approve minutes of the meeting held on 28 August 2014

2.1 The Board was updated on actions from the minutes of the last meeting.

2.2 Agenda Item 7 – Airwaves Lease Renewals - Niki Howard was to enquire about the conditions of contract with Airwaves Solution Ltd relating to the break clause to enable termination of the contract. She reported there is currently a national programme out to Tender for delivery in 18 months' time.

2.3 Agenda Item 10 – Performance Framework – Constabulary to have a Performance Framework in place that reflects the increased focus on vulnerability by April 2015. The Chief Executive had spoken with the Deputy Chief Constable and a resource, in terms of a secondment, was being brought into the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) to work with the Constabulary on this.

2.4 **Resolved:**

(i) *The minutes of the meeting held on 28 August 2014 were agreed and signed at the end of the meeting.*

3. Police and Crime Plan – Objective 1 Update

3.1 A paper was presented to the Board regarding the update of Objective 1 – to 'Maintain Local Police Performance' to the Police and Crime Commissioner's ("the Commissioner") Police and Crime Plan ("the Plan"). The update presented the changes driven by the Metis Programme and the continued emphasis on local policing.

3.2 The Commissioner noted the Plan was there to set the direction for local policing and this was to be the fourth update of the Plan. Both the Chief Constable indicated his support for the update.

3.3 **Resolved:**

(i) *The Board approved the updated Plan for submission to the Police and Crime Panel at their meeting on 5th November 2014.*

4. Community Remedy and Community Trigger

- 4.1 A paper was presented to the Board on Community Remedy and Community Trigger, the purpose of which was for the Commissioner and the Chief Constable to agree a list of actions for inclusion within the Community Remedy, in line with statutory duty in the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (“the Act”).
- 4.2 The Commissioner had run a public consultation which showed support for the approach including the focus on victims, the effect that anti-social behaviour (ASB) and low level crime has on them, and how offenders must realise the consequence of their actions on both victims and communities. The Commissioner had also met with MPs and Council leaders as part of the consultation.
- 4.3 The Commissioner and the Chief Constable discussed the list of actions for the Community Remedy. They agreed upon the actions to include an apology, remedial work, financial recompense, alternative partnership remedial action, e.g. mediation, and any other reasonable action agreed by parties. These actions were agreed on the basis that these actions need to be realistic and practical resolutions to improve and hold public confidence and deliver the right outcomes for the victim and the offender.
- 4.4 The Board also noted that the Constabulary had been working closely with partner agencies, including local authority Community Safety Partnerships, to devise and agree the Community Trigger threshold and process. The threshold will be that three incidents of ASB have been reported in the previous six months or one incident if the impact is significant, an action plan will be agreed with the applicant and there will be an appeal process within the Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (CDRP). The Board noted that the CDRP Board is made up of various partners, the intention being that the agency that deals with the appeal is not connected with the original investigation or review.
- 4.5 The Chief Constable pointed out that acceptability to victims was most important. He commented that it would be useful for a future victims’ surveys to ask when the crime was resolved, how and what was done, i.e. whether with restorative justice, in order to give empirical evidence to gauge what is working.
- 4.6 The Assistant Chief Constable commented how important it was not to have confusion around the interpretation of Restorative Justice in academic debates. The Strategic Advisor noted that the Commissioner was considering running a conference/workshop to help make clear the difference between Community Remedy and Restorative Justice.

